## Environmentally Sustainable Transport (EST) Promotion Policies in Korea

Mar. 17, 2008

#### Sungwon Lee, Ph.D.

Director, Center for Sustainable Transportation The Korea Transport Institute

# Outline

Background and introduction
EST in general
Trends in transport demand
Policy measures for reducing energy and GHG in transport
Effectiveness of policy measures

Special legislation for EST in Korea

### **Background and Introduction**

- Recently, greenhouse gas emission and the possibility of global warming have become the main environmental concern in the transport sector.
- Transport sector is the dominant source of urban air pollution and noise disturbance in most cities in the world.
  - 20 30% of total energy consumption
  - More than 90% of air pollutant emission in urban areas
- Controlling transport activity and thus energy consumption in the transport sector has been regarded as very difficult

# **EST in General**

Transportation demand is a Derived Demand Reduction is extremely difficult **Rapid Motorization Everywhere** Definition of EST: EST is: Transportation that does not endanger public health or ecosystem and meets needs for access consistent with (a) use of renewable resources at below their rate of regeneration, and (b) use of non-renewable resources at below their rate of development of renewable substitute (OECD)

# **Trends in Transportation Demand**

- Transport Sector: 20% of total energy consumption
  - Rapid increase in developing countries
- Second largest source of GHG and most rapidly increasing sector
- Road transport is responsible for more than 80% of social cost, more than 90% of urban air pollution
- Air transport: Rapidly increasing air transport demand
- Air transport sector is responsible for more than proportional impact on global warming
- Maritime sector is the major emitter of NOx and SOx

# **Policy Measures for Reducing Energy and GHG in Transport**

- Technological innovations
  - Engine efficiency
  - Aerodynamics
  - Transmission efficiency
- Vehicle mileage standards and emission standards
  - Fuel efficiency standards
  - Emissions standards
- Fuels policy
  - Lowering sulfur contents in diesel
  - Improving gasoline, additives, benzene or toluene levels
- Alternative fuels
  - Electric and hybrid vehicles
  - Fuel cells
  - Hydrogen and CNG
- Infrastructure for environmental sustainability

- **Infrastructure for environmental sustainability** 
  - Rail and water transport related infrastructure
  - Bicycle roads
  - Infrastructure for intermodal transport
- Inspections and maintenance
  - In-use vehicle management
- Travel demand management(TDM)
  - Fuel and road pricing
  - Parking policy
  - Public transport promotion
- Traffic flow management
  - Signal synchronization
  - ITS
- Educational campaigns and information
- Controlling travel demand
  - Land use planning
  - Telecommuting and teleconferencing

## **Effectiveness of Policy Measures**

# Table 1 Macro-economy and energy consumption,reference case

Economic and any incommental					Gr	owth rat	ite *	
indicators	1995	2000	2010	2020	1996- 2000	2001- 2010	2011- 2020	
Real GDP (1000 billion won)	377.4	442.4	729.6	1,067.6	3.23	5.13	3.88	
Population (million)	45.0	47.2	50.8	52.4	0.96	0.74	0.30	
GHG emissions (million TC)	120.0	138.1	215.1	313.5	2.85	4.53	3.84	
Final energy consumption (million TOE)	120.9	149.6	253.1	380.9	4.35	5.40	4.17	
Energy intensity (mil. TOE/1000 billion won)	0.320	0.338	0.347	0.357	1.08	0.26	0.28	
Emission intensity (TC/million won)	0.318	0.312	0.295	0.294	-0.37	-0.57	-0.04	
* Annual average growth rate								

#### Table 2 Public transport policy scenario

Scenario	Assumptions
<b>BAU Scenario</b>	Current trends scenario: Declining public transport modal share
Public transport scenario	Bus: Maintaining current modal share (9.96%) up to 2020. Subway: Maintaining current modal share (9.49%) up to year 2020.

# Table 3 Passenger transport demand forecast by publictransport policy scenario

Unit: million person k							
		2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	
	Passenger car	168,126	217,043	280,194	361,718	466,963	
BAU	Bus	27,695	25,917	24,253	22,695	21,238	
Scenario	Subway	28,365	34,445	38,899	51,541	61,170	
	Total	224,186	277,405	343,345	435,954	549,371	
Maintaining public transport modal share	Passenger car	168,126	207,477	258,367	330,241	418,397	]
	Bus	27,695	35,254	42,842	53,297	66,032	
	Subway	28,365	34,673	42,136	52,417	64,942	
	Total	224,186	277,405	343,345	435,954	549,371	1

# Table 4 Estimation of CO<sub>2</sub> emission under public transport policy

					Unit: the	ousand TC
		2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
	Passenger car	6,853	8,847	11,421	14,745	19,035
BAU	Bus	417	390	365	342	320
BAU Scepario	Subway	85	103	117	155	184
Scenario	Sub-total	7,355	9,341	11,903	15,241	19,538
	<b>Total Emission</b> <sup>1)</sup>	18,681	22,176	26,565	31,044	34,748
	Passenger car	6,853	8,457	10,532	13,461	17,055
	Bus	417	531	645	803	994
Maintaining	Subway	85	104	126	157	195
public transport	Sub-total	7,355	9,092	11,303	14,421	18,244
modal share	Estimated		2.40	(00	920	1.00.4
	reduction	-	249	600	820	1,294
	compared with the total <sup>2)</sup>		(1.12%)	(2.26%)	(2.64%)	(3.72%)

1) Total emission in the transport sector

2) The estimated reduction is in comparison with the total transport emission.

#### Table 5 CO<sub>2</sub> emission units by freight transport modes (1999)

	Private freight vehicle	Commercial freight vehicle	Rail	Water	Air
Freight ton km (million ton-km)	33,376	9,227	10,072	33,699	151
Share (%)	38.6	14.6	11.6	38.9	0.2
CO <sub>2</sub> emission (thousand TC)	5,251.3	1,167.7	-	-	-
CO <sub>2</sub> emission unit (g-C/ton· km)	157.3	126.6	7.1	10.0	402.0

#### Table 6 Proposed freight modal share change

			Unit: %
	1997	2010	2020
Road	56.6	48.2	41.2
Rail	14.2	15.5	20.3
Water	35.8	36.0	38.1
Air	0.1	0.3	0.4

#### Table 7 Freight modal shift policy scenario

BAU Scenario	Current trend and no infrastructure investment	
Modal shift scenario	Government infrastructure investment and modal shift plan	

#### Table 8 Freight modal demand forecasting by scenario

Unit:	mill	ion t	on.	ĸm
-------	------	-------	-----	----

		2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
	<b>Road Private</b>	34,379	40,006	46,841	55,201	65,491
	<b>Road Commercial</b>	9,504	11,060	12,950	15,261	18,106
BAU	Rail	10,375	12,073	14,136	16,659	19,764
Scenario	Water	34,712	40,394	47,295	55,736	66,125
	Air	156	182	213	251	298
	Total	89,126	103,715	121,435	143,108	169,784
Infrastruc	<b>Road Private</b>	34,379	38,448	40,972	41,468	41,971
ture &	<b>Road Commercial</b>	9,504	10,417	17,560	22,494	27,980
modal	Rail	10,375	14,592	18,822	25,477	34,483
shift	Water	34,712	40,007	43,717	53,178	64,688
policy	Air	156	252	364	491	662
scenario	Total	89,126	103,715	121,435	143,108	169,784

# Table 9 $CO_2$ emission forecasting and reduction potential under the infrastructure and modal shift policy

					U	<u>nit: thousan</u> d
		2000	2005	2010	2015	2020
BAU Scenario	Road Private	5,409	6,294	7,370	8,685	10,304
	<b>Road Commercial</b>	1,203	1,400	1,639	1,931	2,291
	Rail	74	86	101	119	141
	Water	347	404	473	557	661
	Air	63	73	86	101	120
	Sub total	7,096	8,257	9,668	11,394	13,518
	Total	18,681	22,056	26,565	30,855	33,869
	Road Private	5,409	6,049	6,446	6,525	6,604
Infrastru	<b>Road Commercial</b>	1,203	1,318	2,222	2,847	3,541
cture &	Rail	74	104	134	182	246
modal	Water	347	400	437	532	647
shift	Air	63	101	146	197	266
policy Scenario	Sub total	7,096	7,973	9,387	10,282	11,304
	Reduction		284	282	1,111	2,214
	potential	-	(1.29%)	(1.06%)	(3.60%)	(6.54%)

#### Summary and policy implications

Public transit related policies would bring only mild improvements but they are more easily implementable politically.

Freight modal shift could bring greater GHG emission. However this could imply substantial investments in related infrastructure.

# Special Legislation for EST in Korea

## EST Law in Korea

- EST Law in Korea is now in legislation process
- "Environmentally Sustainable Transport and Logistics Law"
- Motivated by UNFCCC and Kyoto protocol
- Empowers MLTM to exercise diverse regulatory measures

# Important Provisions in the EST Law

- EST planning by MLTM and Provinces
- Long term planning by MLTM
- Regional planning by Provinces
- Regional planning requires long term land use and transportation planning consistent with EST

#### EST zoning and EST indicator based management

- Arterial transportation zone
- Urban transportation zone
- Regional transportation zone
- EST related indicators
- Environmental indicators
- Economic indicators
- Social indicators

#### Special EST planning

- Modal shift policies
- TDM management
- Environmentally friendly infrastructure
- Regulatory measures
- Heavy duty freight regulation
- Public transport promotion
- Economic incentives

- Transport related price control
- Economic incentives, taxation and subsidy
- Infrastructure development
  - Environmental benefits must be included in the evaluation
- Economic incentives for low polluting vehicles

#### Integrated urban planning

- Urban planning must consider EST
- Green transport promotion
- Walking and bicycles
- Walking related infrastructure and survey
- Bicycle related infrastructure and safety plan

#### Funding for EST

- Special fund for EST development
- Capacity building for EST
  - Special higher education institute for EST
- International collaboration
  - Exchange of information and expertise
  - Collaborative researches

## **Transport Policies for EST**

- Diverse measures required for reduction in GHG in transport sector
  Technological innovation and economic incentives
  Limitations in policy options and secondary impacts
- No Panacea
- A comprehensive approach is required

# Thank you!