

Local Authority/Municipality/City:

Country:

Region:

Designated Focal Person for IPLA

Name:

Title:

Organization:

Address:


Tel:

Fax:

E-mail:

Skype:

Website:



Last update (month/year):

| A. Basic profile | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Year of establishment | |
| 2 | Mayor/Commissioner | |
| 3 | Size of area (ha or km ²) | |
| 4 | Population (reference year) | |
| 5 | Population density (reference year) | |
| 6 | GDP per person (year) | |
| 7 | Language(s) | |
| 8 | Major industries/sectors | |
| 9 | Total Number of LA Staff | |
| 10 | Number of trained/experienced waste management professionals/experts in LA | |
| B. Municipal solid waste (MSW) | | |
| 11 | Per capital generation of MSW (year, source) | |
| 12 | Percentage of increase from a previous year (year, source) | |
| 13 | Composition of major types of MSW generated (%) (year, source) | Organic: __% Paper: __% Plastic: __% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | Glass: __% Metal: __% Others: __% |
| 14 | Specific municipal waste streams that are difficult to manage within the capacity of the municipality | |
| 15 | How is segregation of MSW done? (e.g. at the source or at waste transfer facility) | |
| 16 | Landfill area and dumpsites allotted for disposal to the LA and where it is located (year, source) | |
| 17 | What type of waste recycling, recovery and treatment facilities are there? | <input type="checkbox"/> Recycling plant/facility for paper <input type="checkbox"/> Recycling plant/facility for plastic <input type="checkbox"/> Recycling plant/facility for aluminium and metals <input type="checkbox"/> Waste-to-Energy recovery plant/facility <input type="checkbox"/> Biomass/biogas production plant/facility <input type="checkbox"/> Treatment plant/facility for hazardous waste <input type="checkbox"/> Treatment plant/facility for medical waste <input type="checkbox"/> Treatment plant/facility for E-waste <input type="checkbox"/> Treatment plant/facility for waste water |
| C. MSW policies (you may just provide the official website/s that contains required information) | | |
| 18 | National level | |
| 19 | Regional level | |
| 20 | Local level | |
| D. MSW operation and decision making structure/process at the local level | | |
| 21 | MSW-responsible unit/division | |
| 22 | Decision making structure/process | |
| E. Experience of working with different partners (e.g. private sector, communities, NGOs, informal sector, etc.) | | |
| 23 | Type of partners at Local level | |
| 24 | -do- Regional | |
| 25 | -do- International | |
| 26 | -do- Others | |

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| F. Governance | | |
| 27 | Does the LA have a policy for moving towards zero waste? | |
| 28 | Does the LA's waste management policy linked with resource efficiency, public health, and protection of freshwater resources? | |
| G. Finances | | |
| 29 | Main source of funding for the LA | |
| 30 | Fraction of the budget spent on waste management (year, source) | |
| 31 | Per capital spending on waste management (year, source) | |
| 32 | ODAs (bi-lateral/multi-lateral) if any | |
| H. Cost information | | |
| 33 | Average cost for MSW collection per ton (year, source) | |
| 34 | Average cost for MSW processing per ton (year, source) | |
| I. Case studies | | |
| 35 | Key achievements in the area of waste management | |
| 36 | Public and Private Partnership (PPP) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Type of project - Year and period - Local/foreign partner companies - Modalities (e.g., BOT, BOO, cost-sharing basis, lease- concession period, etc.) - Related website | |
| J. Tender information | | |
| 37 | Whether interested to | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | advertise through IPLA web-portal/platform? | |
| 38 | Targeted bidders | |
| 39 | Language/s usually used for tender notifications/ expression of interest | |
| K. Main difficulties and challenges | | |
| 40 | <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate policies and regulations <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of resource and technology <input type="checkbox"/> Finance and investment <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of public awareness and education <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of recycling infrastructures <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of clear policies in support of the public-private-partnerships, (PPP) <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of enforcement of relevant regulations | |
| L. Immediate needs and priorities | | |
| 41 | <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement in existing laws and regulations <input type="checkbox"/> Finance and investment for waste infrastructures such as waste collection, recycling, and treatment facilities <input type="checkbox"/> Finance and investment for establishing eco-town and eco-industrial zones <input type="checkbox"/> Strengthened public awareness and education on source separation of wastes <input type="checkbox"/> Training and capacity building in specific areas <input type="checkbox"/> Technical know-how to deal with specific waste/s (e.g. e-wastes, hazardous wastes, chemicals, medical waste, etc.) | |
| M. Other membership related to waste management | | |
| 42 | Any existing membership to other national, regional/international waste management networks/associations | |
| N. Contributions to IPLA | | |
| 43 | <input type="checkbox"/> Disseminating information on activities <input type="checkbox"/> Participating in IPLA related events <input type="checkbox"/> Participating in collaborative projects <input type="checkbox"/> Contributing expertise in IPLA related events and projects <input type="checkbox"/> Offering voluntary funding (basically towards city-to-city cooperation) <input type="checkbox"/> Offering in-kind contribution <input type="checkbox"/> Other () | |
| O. Main purpose of joining IPLA | | |
| 44 | | |