### **Global Trends in Bus Rapid Transit**



United Nations Centre for Regional Development

#### 5<sup>th</sup> Regional EST Forum in Asia

Bangkok, Thailand, 24 August 2010

Viva cities for people

Lloyd Wright



#### **BRT in Asia**





#### Contents

- I. Project costing
- II. Operations and infrastructure
- **III. Vehicles**
- IV. Communications & marketing
- V. Resources





#### **BRT systems worldwide**

Latin America Bogotá Curitiba Goiânia Guadalajara Guatemala City Guayaquil León Mexico City Pereira Porto Alegre Quito São Paulo Santiago

North America Boston Cleveland Eugene Los Angeles Miami Ottawa Orlando Pittsburgh York

Asia Ahmedabad Bangkok Beijing Changzhou Chongqing Delhi Guangzhou Hangzhou Jakarta Jinan Kunming Nagoya Pune Seoul Taipei Xiamen Xian Oceania Auckland Adelaide **Brisbane** Svdnev

Europe Amsterdam Bradford Cambridge **Claremont Ferrand** Crawley Eindhoven Edinburgh Essen Istanbul Leeds Lille Lyon Nantes Nice Paris Rouen Toulouse Utrecht Africa Cape Town Johannesburg

Port Flizabeth

Lagos



## Part I. Project Costing



## Summary of typical planning costs

Plan	Estimated cost
Feasibility study	US\$ 0.5 – 1 million
Project Management	US\$ 1 – 2 million
<b>Operations Plan</b>	US\$ 3 – 4 million
Business Plan	US\$ 3 – 5 million
Marketing & Communications Plan	US\$ 2 – 4 million
Total	US\$ 9.5 – 16 million

Plan	Estimated cost
Preliminary & detailed infrastructure design	10% of construction costs



Implementation	Estimated cost
Civil works	US\$ 4.5 – 7.5 million per km
Trunk stations	US\$ 0.5 – US\$ 1 million per station
Depots	US\$ 15 – US\$ 25 million per depot
Trunk vehicles	US\$ 0.2 – 0.4 million per vehicle
ITS and fare equipment	R 0.07 – 0.15 million per station
Control centre	US\$ 20 – US\$ 30 million
Land acquisition	Variable
Industry compensation	Variable

12Nh

#### Four systems at approximately the same cost











## Sao Paulo Expresso Tiradentes

Sao Paulo

#### US\$ 26 million per km



#### Lagos BRT Lite

#### US\$ 2.7 million per km







#### System design





#### **Central median stations vs. split stations**



## Reasons for central median stations

- **1.** Ease of transfers
- 2. Travel time / commercial speed advantages
- 3. Capital cost reductions
- 4. Operating cost reductions
- 5. Legibility / marketing
- 6. Self-enforcing
- 7. Improves pedestrian safety
- 8. Saves road space





## Lane enforcement techniques



Road signs and markings

Fines and penalties

- **G** System branding
- **Delineators**





#### Lane colourisation

#### Thin film problems

- **Expensive**
- **D** Poor durability
- Dulling of colour





#### Lane colourisation







Lloyd Wright





## Continuously Re-inforced Concrete (CRC)

- Concrete designed for flexural strength of 3.5 MPa
- Concrete thickness varies from 190 to 260 mm
- Optimum colour enhancement at 5% of mix





#### **Vehicle-platform interface**

Connecting the customer to the system





# Gaps are a safety hazard for all passengers, and especially children, the elderly, and physically disabled

3



## **Optical alignment to platform**



#### Las Vegas

Lloyd Wright

Rouen

# Window marker aligned to street marking





#### **Kassel kerbs**



Smooth contact face



Boot shaped profile





#### **Kassel kerbs in Cape Town**





#### Kassel kerb in Amsterdam





#### **Boarding bridges**

 Eliminates many of the platform interface problems

Provides greater
 customer ease in
 boarding,
 especially for the
 physically disabled,
 the elderly, and
 children





#### **CD-style boarding bridge**





## **BRT and the FIFA World Cup**





#### **Station design and artwork**

#### Johannesburg





#### **Cape Town**



### Part III. Vehicles

1 1

706

BusWay

. 406 BYB 44

Lloyd Wright

PLACE ONNE HUMEUR

happymeal.fr



## High floor vs. Low floor vehicles



#### **High floor**

#### Low entry



#### **Low-entry vehicles**

#### <u>Advantages</u>

- Reduces station costs
- Reduces station visual impact
- Reduces overall length of stations
- Allows easier integration of trunk and feeder services

#### <u>Disadvantages</u>

- Slightly more costly vehicles
- Some loss of seating
- Maximum vehicle speed of 70 kph (compared to 100 kph for high-floor)





#### **12-meter vehicles**

#### **Advantages**

- Lower vehicle cost
  per passenger carried
- Improved fuel economy
- Increased ease in vehicle docking
- **G** Superior ride comfort
  - Improved acceleration and deceleration





### **Modern vehicles**







## **Vehicle interior**











Universal access with all feeder vehicles

Real-time information displays at feeder stations

# Part IV. Communications & Marketing

Duan Xiaomei, GMTDC



#### Branding





## System branding in Los Angeles



#### Metro Orange Line It's the Valley's new shortcut.





#### **Celebrity endorsements**





## System merchandising





## **Trends defining BRT success**

- Median dedicated lanes and central median stations
- ✓ Low-entry vehicles
- ✓ Quality feeder vehicles and stations
- ✓ Kassel kerbs and boarding bridges to ease boarding and alighting
- ✓ Lane colourisation
- ✓ Legible signage
- High-quality pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure integrated into design
- System is fully wheelchair accessible





#### Part V. Resources

#### **Bus Rapid Transit Planning Guide**

#### 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition:

www.itdp.org/index.php/microsite/brt\_planning\_guide

Collaborations invited for upcoming 4<sup>th</sup> edition



Bus Rapid Transit Planning Guide June 2007

Partners ITDP GTZ Viva

#### Thank you

Lloyd Wright Lwright@vivacities.org

#### Median stations with route permutations



Vh

#### Split stations with route permutations



1.h



#### **Mechanical guidance systems**







### **Station design**





## **Feeder vehicles**

